

2002-29

>>> "Dan Seymour" <seymour@nagbaxsey.com> 03/18/05 11:08 AM >>>

Dear Madam/Sir:

First, thank you for the additional opportunity to comment of the proposed amendments.

My first comment is that it would be extremely helpful to be able to compare(with a redlined copy) the current Michigan Model Rules with the proposed amendments.

My next comment is that I believe the amendments or changes to the Model rules should first be adopted before there is an adoption of the proposed Standards for Sanctions.

My remaining comments deal with the Proposed Standards as reflected in the July 29, 2003 publication from the Court. With regard to the alternatives suggested for Standards 4.41; 4.42; and 4.43 - my position is that the requirement of "and causes serious or potentially serious injury to a client ..." should remain as part of the Standard for imposing the respective sanction. I take that same position for Standards 4.51; 4.52; and 4.53.

My final comment is that for imposition of the sanction of either disbarment or suspension, the right to judicial review should be provided for in the Standards.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments.

Daniel J. Seymour, Esq.
Nagi, Baxter & Seymour, P.C.
155 West Congress
Suite 300
Detroit, MI 48226
313-964-2040
313-964-4068 (Fax)
seymour@nagbaxsey.com